

'LETTERS' SECTION

On the Statistics Consistent with Nambu's New Quantum Rules. Quarks?

MÁXIMO GARCÍA SUCRE and ANDRÉS J. KÁLNAY

Centro de Física, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC),
Apartado 1827, Caracas 101, Venezuela

Received: 2 October 1973

Nambu (1973) proposed a new classical mechanics.‡ For one of its quantum forms he introduced what we call the Nambu Quantum Bracket $[A, B, C] = [A, B]_C + [B, C]_A + [C, A]_B$, where $[A, B]_- = AB - BA$, and stated the quantum rule

$$[X_1, X_2, X_3] = iI \quad (1)$$

for a three-component phase space variable $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$. Our aim is to show that in a second quantised theory and in a sense to be cleared below, the main statistics used in quantum physics are consistent with the quantum rule (1). This is not *a priori* obvious because a quantum rule generally severely restricts the possible statistics. For example, the quantum rule $[q, p]_- = iI$ conducts to bosons in a second quantised theory via $b = 2^{-1/2} (q + ip)$, but is inconsistent with Fermi statistics which require anticommutation rules. We shall compare a quantum Nambu system of coordinates \mathbf{X} with a second quantised system with only one annihilation operator called b for the Bose or para-Bose (= pB) case,§ f_ϵ with $\epsilon = +1$ for the Fermi or para-Fermi (= pF)§ case and with $\epsilon = -1$ for the modified para-Fermi (mpF) case.|| We work with single vacuum irreducible representations of parastatistics, calling p the order.

‡ See also Bell & Nambu (to be published). Cohen & Kálnay (1975).

§ Green (1953), Greenberg & Messiah (1965).

|| Kademova & Kraev (1971a, b), Kamefuchi & Takahashi (1962). Indefinite metric or non-Fock representations must be used when mpF has several pairs of generators (Bracken & Gray, 1971; Ohnuki, Yamada & Kamefuchi, 1971; Kademova & Kraev, 1972; Geyer, 1973).

Proposition 1. Let f_ϵ be a pF or mpF annihilation operator. Let us define

$$X_1 = K(f_\epsilon + f_\epsilon^\dagger), \quad X_2 = iK(f_\epsilon - f_\epsilon^\dagger), \quad X_3 = \epsilon K[f_\epsilon^\dagger, f_\epsilon]_- \quad (2a)$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \{ \frac{1}{2} [f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon^\dagger]_+ + \epsilon \frac{1}{4} [f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon^\dagger]_-^2 \}^{-1/3} \quad (2b)$$

and exclude $p = 2$ for mpF. Then \mathbf{X} generates a realisation of Nambu algebra defined by equation (1) in terms of the pF ($\epsilon = +1$) (respectively mpF, i.e. $\epsilon = -1$) algebra.

Proof. Nambu has shown a $SO(3)$ (and also a $SO(2,1)$) realisation of his algebra and it is known¶ that the algebra of the pF (respectively mpF) quantisation with only one annihilation operator f_ϵ is the $SO(3)$ (respectively $SO(2,1)$) algebra. This implies the existence of the realisation. Equations (2) follow from the comparison of the formulae of Nambu for the case $SO(3)$ (respectively $SO(2, 1)$) with those of Ryan & Sudarshan (1963) (respectively Kademova & Kraev (1971b)). Notice $\{ \} = \frac{1}{2}p(1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon p) \neq 0$. □

Corollary 2. Equations (2) offer a Fermi realisation of Nambu algebra.

Proof. The Fermi algebra is an irreducible representation ($p = 1$) of the pF one. §

Proposition 3. Let \mathbf{X} be the phase space vector of a $SO(3)$ (or $SO(2,1)$) realisation of the Nambu algebra defined by equation (1). Let us define

$$f_\epsilon = (X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \epsilon X_3^2)(X_1 - iX_2), \quad f_\epsilon^\dagger = (X_1 + iX_2)(X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \epsilon X_3^2) \quad (3)$$

Then $f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators of the pF (respectively mpF) algebra.

Proof. Same as for Proposition 1. □

Remark 4. The pF vacuum conditions are equivalent in Nambu algebra to $(X_1 - iX_2) | 0 \rangle = 0$ and $X_3 | 0 \rangle = -(p/2)^{2/3} [1 + (p/2)]^{-1/3} | 0 \rangle$ (4)

Proposition 5. Let b be a pB annihilation operator. Let

$$X_1 = ik(b^{\dagger 2} - b^2), \quad X_2 = k(b^{\dagger 2} + b^2), \quad X_3 = k[b, b^\dagger]_+ \quad (5.a)$$

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \{ [b^2, b^{\dagger 2}]_+ - \frac{1}{2} [b, b^\dagger]_+^2 \}^{-1/3} \quad (5.b)$$

and exclude $p = 4$. Then \mathbf{X} generates a realisation of Nambu algebra defined by equation (1) in terms of the pB algebra.

Proof. From Jordan, Mukunda & Pepper (1963) we learn that the generators of $SO(2,1)$ algebra can be constructed in terms of those of the pB algebra with only two generators b, b^\dagger . Nambu has shown an $SO(2,1)$ realisation of his algebra. Notice $\{ \} = p(2 - \frac{1}{2}p) \neq 0$. □

§ Green (1953), Greenberg & Messiah (1965).

¶ Ryan & Sudarshan (1963); Jordan, Mukunda & Pepper (1963); Kamefuchi & Takahashi (1962); Kademova & Kraev (1971b).

Corollary 6. Equation (5) offers a Bose realisation of Nambu algebra.

Proof. The Bose algebra is an irreducible representation ($p = 1$) of the pB one. §

Remark 7. We are not showing for pB a proposition like number 3. But such a proposition holds for some specific representations of Nambu algebra.

Remark 8. Let us assume that Propositions 1 and 3 can be extended to several degrees of freedom. Greenberg (1964) has shown that a way to solve some problems for quarks is to consider them as pF. This possibility is still seriously considered among others (e.g. Gell-Mann, 1972; for related work see Bracken & Green, 1973). This shows that the quantised version of Nambu's new Hamiltonian Mechanics may be suitable for the quark model.

References

- Bell, T. and Nambu, Y. (to be published).
 Bracken, A. J. and Gray, D. A. (1971). *Physics Letters*, **37B**, 420.
 Bracken, A. J. and Green, H. S. (1973). Preprint Adelaide University, Mathematical Physics Department, *Parastatistics and the Quark Model*.
 Cohen, I. and Kálmay, A. J. (1975). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 12, No. 1, p. 61.
 Gell-Mann, M. (1972). Summary Talk at the XVI International Conference on High Energy Physics, Batavia, 111; CALT-68-379 Report.
 Geyer, B. (1973). *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Karl-Marx-Universität, Leipzig*, **22**(2), 179.
 Green, H. S. (1953). *Physical Review*, **90**, 270.
 Greenberg, O. W. (1964). *Physical Review Letters*, **13**, 598.
 Greenberg, O. W. and Messiah, A. M. L. (1965). *Physical Review*, **138**, B1155.
 Jordan, T. F., Mukunda, N. and Pepper, S. V. (1963). *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **4**, 1089.
 Kademova, K. and Kraev, M. (1971a). *Nuclear Physics*, **B26**, 342.
 Kademova, K. and Kraev, M. (1971b). *Physics Letters*, **34B**, 405.
 Kademova, K. and Kraev, M. (1972). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 6, No. 6, p. 443.
 Kamefuchi, S. and Takahashi, Y. (1962). *Nuclear Physics*, **36**, 177.
 Nambu, Y. (1973). *Physical Review D*, **7**, 2405.
 Ohnuki, Y., Yamada, M. and Kamefuchi, S. (1971). *Physics Letters*, **36B**, 51.
 Ryan, C. and Sudarshan, E. C. G. (1963). *Nuclear Physics*, **47**, 207.

§ Green (1953), Greenberg & Messiah (1965).